

San Jose, CA 95110

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/649,099	08/26/2003	Woody K. Sattayapiwat Tang	M-12977 US	4957	
7590 11/29/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Gideon Gimla	an VKWOK CHEN & HE	ID I I P	DAHIMENE,	DAHIMENE, MAHMOUD	
Suite 226	· RWOR CHER & HE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1762 Technolo	gy Drive		1765		

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.   Application No.   Applicant(s)				
Examiner  Art Unit  Methodo Dehimene  Art Unit  1755		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Mahmoud Dahimene   1765	Office Action Summany			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY, PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Examinous from rany to evaluate under the providence of 30 °CRT 113(G). In an event, however, my a reply be timely filled  If NO period for reply is appecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and the expire StX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallurs to reply will min set at or extended period for reply is appecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and the expire StX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallurs to reply will min set of extended period for reply is appecified above, the maximum statutory and the expire StX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallurs to reply will min set of extended period for reply is appecified above. The maximum statutory period will apply and the expire StX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallurs to reply will min set of extended period for reply its provision of the state of the communication, seven if limitely filled. The state of this communication.  Fallurs to reply will be set of the communication is maximum state of the communication.  Fallurs to replication is provision.  Status  1)	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY.PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Safeting in the receive of the provisions of 37 CPR 1.1986). In or event, however, may a neity be timely filed to the provisions of 37 CPR 1.1986). In or event, however, may a neity be timely filed to the provision of the provisions of 37 CPR 1.1986). In or event, however, may a neity be timely filed to the provision of the provision of 37 CPR 1.1986). The communication of the mailing date of this communication of the provision of the provis				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 cFt 1.13(b). In ne event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 50X (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, which is the provision of the provi		ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	•
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08/26/2003</u> .  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 24-30</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>19-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 24-30</u> is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing</li> </ul>	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4  Claim(s) 1-18 and 24-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-23 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6  Claim(s) 1-18 and 24-30 is/are rejected. 7  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8  Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some collation of the priority documents have been received. 2  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status			
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4  Claim(s) 1-18 and 24-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-23 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6  Claim(s) 1-18 and 24-30 is/are rejected. 7  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8  Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some collation of the priority documents have been received. 2  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08/26	8/2003		
3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4	· = · · · · · · · · · · ·			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-18 and 24-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-23 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<i>,</i>		secution as to the merits is	
Al) Claim(s) 1-18 and 24-30 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-23 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3 □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) △ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3 ○ □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
4) □ Claim(s) 1-18 and 24-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-23 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/58/08) 5 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	closed in accordance with the practice under E	A parte Quayle, 1000 C.B. 11, 40	,	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-23 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Disposition of Claims			
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 24-30</u> is/are pending in the a	application.		
Solid Claim(s) 1-18 and 24-30 is/are rejected.    Claim(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-23 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
7) Claim(s)is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-892)    Online of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Online of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Online of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·		
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 24-30</u> is/are rejected.			
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	· · .	r election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		r		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)			Fxaminer	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)			· ·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-		
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	The dath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	armier. Note the attached Office	Action of format 10-102.	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).	
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ☐ .  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	ion No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	AMachananta			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    Paper No(s)/Mail Date   Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		A) The Interview Commence	· · (DTO_413)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) L_J Other:		5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F		
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [_] Other:		

Art Unit: 1765

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-18, 24-30, drawn to a method for reducing micromasking residue, classified in class 438, subclass 706.
  - II. Claims 19-20, drawn to computer-implementable recipe, classified in class700, subclass 121.
  - III. Claims 21-23, drawn to a patterned integrated circuit, classified in class 257, subclass 7.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product, namely the method for reducing micromasking residue can be implemented manually by entering the process parameters into the processing system.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In

Art Unit: 1765

the instant case the different inventions the integrated circuit has a different function than the method for reducing micromasking residue.

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, for example, a wet cleaning process can be used to remove residues in the fabrication of the integrated circuit.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II and III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Gideon Gimlan on 11/15/2005 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-18, 24-30. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 19-23 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Art Unit: 1765

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

For the purpose of examination, the limitation of "said residue <u>can be</u> microscopically observed after the hardmask layer has been patterned", as recited in claim 24, should not be given patentable weight since the term "can be" implies a possibility.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-18 and 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

2. The expression "sufficiently small in size" in claim 1 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The expression "sufficiently small in size " is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. If the nodules have a base that is anchored in the underlying material one has to define the approximate size of the chemical agent to enter reaction

zones of the base anchor portions of the residue nodules or define the nodules average size because the term "sufficiently small" is relative to the average size of the nodules.

3. Claim 7 recites the limitation "the fibers". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 2-6, 8-18 and 27-30 are indefinite because they depend on claim 1.

4. Claim 26 recites the limitation "the ratio". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 7-11 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Xiaobing et al. (US 5387556).

Xiaobing discloses an etch method using Cl-containing etchant. The structure etched comprises an interface region of an oxide-based hardmask layer (column 1, line 15) and a metal containing anti-reflexion coating layer (ARC) (column 3, line 20). Some of the interface region is exposed after the hard mask has been patterned (column 1, line 11). It is noted that the thrust of Xiaobing's method is aimed at etching the aluminum layer underlying the ARC layer, Xiaobing, however, discloses a preliminary

Art Unit: 1765

etch step designed to etch (in one example) the TiN ARC layer (column 5, line 48) (Table 1).

The preliminary etch step comprises:

Providing a plasma containing a chemically reactive agent (BCl3 and/or Cl2) (Table 1) wich react with the Ti (first metal of the ARC layer) to produce a volatile product and therefor etching of the TiN layer is accomplished.

Xiaobing cites testing the amount of residue remaining (column 5, line 63) by comparison to an established wet residue removal method, very smooth profiles were achieved, and no micromasking is mentioned as a result.

As to claim 2, Xiaobing discloses an etching step wherein the chemically reactive agent is selected from the group consisting of Cl2 and HCl (Table 3). Application of this step, with the rest of the steps of the disclosed process, result in a structure with low residues (column 5, line 64).

As to claim 3, Xiaobing discloses an etching step wherein the process gas consists essentially of HCl, Cl-containing etchant and N.sub.2. The Cl-containing etchant can consist essentially of Cl.sub.2, SiCl.sub.4, CCl.sub.4, BCl.sub.3, or mixtures thereof (column 3, line 43). Application of this step, with the rest of the steps of the disclosed process, result in a structure with low residues (column 5, line 64).

As to claim 4, Xiaobing discloses Ti (column 3, line 20) as the metal contained in the ARC layer.

Art Unit: 1765

As to claim 7, Xiaobing discloses one other additional chemically reactive agents such as CCI4 and SiCI4 (column 3, line 43) which can react with and volatilize materials present in the base anchor portions of the residue nodules and or fibers since the nodules or fibers contain the first metal element.

As to claims 8 and 9, Xiaobing discloses a second agent N2 (Table 1). Nitrogen is relatively, chemically nonreactive and is sufficiently large in average mass for physical bombardment.

As to claim 10, Xiaobing discloses 10 sccm of Cl2 first agent (Table 1).

As to claim 11, Xiaobing discloses 50 sccm of N2 second agent (Table 3, column 5).

As to claim 16, Xiaobing describes a plasma process power ranging from 100 Watts to 1000 Watts (column 4, line 16) which includes the power range claimed by the applicant in claim 16, and more specifically Xiaobing discloses power levels of 500 Watts (Tables 1 and 3) and 350 Watts (Table 3).

As to claim 17, Xiaobing discloses a plasma or pedestal bias of 200 Watts (Table 1)

3. Claims 24, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chiu et al. (US 20040157444).

Chiu discloses a photoresist intensive patterning and processing wherein a layer (12) of Anti Reflective Coating TiN (ARC) (page 3, paragraph 56) is first deposited over the surface of a silicon based or oxide based semiconductor surface (figure 2), a dual

hardmask is deposited over the surface of the layer of ARC, in one example, the dual hardmask comprises an oxygen-poor silicon oxynitride interfacial layer (14) deposited between the ARC layer (page 3, paragraph 59) and a silicon oxide layer (16) (page 4, paragraph 60) or PE-TEOS (page 3, paragraph 57). A layer of soft mask material (18) is next coated over the surface of the dual hardmask layer, the layer of soft mask material is exposed, creating a soft mask material mask. Chiu further discusses a residue free cleaning procedure (page 4, paragraph 65).

Since the reference of Chiu teaches the same method using the same materials/layers as the claimed method, under the principle of inherency, Chiu's method would have reduced formation of micromasking residues between a metal containing ARC and the oxide-based hardmask layer.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xiaobing et al. (US 5387556) in view of Tang et al. (US 6156485).

The method of Xiaobing as described above does disclose an oxide hardmask (column 1, line 15), but is silent about a specific oxide namely a PE-TEOS oxide.

Tang describes a metal etch method where a PE-oxide (140) or PE-TEOS (column 3, line 67) is used on top of a TiN ARC layer (130) (figure 3A).

Therefor it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a PE-TEOS hard mask on top of a TiN ARC layer for a metal etch process because Tang teaches it is conventional to use those materials when etching an underlying metal layer.

6. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xiaobing et al. (US 5387556) as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Ueda (JP 11097428).

The method of Xiaobing, as described above, teaches a process pressure range from about 1 mTorr to about 300 mTorr (column 4, line 36), but fails to disclose specifically a process pressure range of about 2 mT to about 15 mT or about 6 mT to about 12 mT.

Ueda discloses a method for dry etching metal that "completely eliminates residual after processing" the method uses an HCI/CI2/BCI3 mixture at 8mTorr.

Therefor it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a process pressure in the range of 2 mTorr to about 15 mTorr or about 6 mT to about 12 mT, because the lower pressure range (around 8 mTorr) allows a higher diffusion mean free path for the chemically active agents to

Art Unit: 1765

reach the processing surface before recombination within the plasma. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the lower pressure range to access small features within the surface to be processed.

## Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 12, 13, 18 and 27-30 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, set forth in this office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mahmoud Dahimene whose telephone number is (571) 272-2410. The examiner can normally be reached on week days from 8:00 AM. to 5:00 PM..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1765

Page 11

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mahoward Dahimene

MD